

**REMARKS**

Claims 1 and 3 – 11 remain in the application. Claim 2 has been cancelled. Claims 1 and 3 have been amended. Reconsideration of this application in view of the amendments noted is respectfully requested.

In the present Office Action, claims 2 – 8 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were found to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 2 depended from claim 1. Therefore, the limitation of claim 2 has been added to claim 1. Accordingly, claim 2 has been cancelled. Claim 3 has also been amended so that it now depends from claim 1 instead of cancelled claim 2. Further, claim 1 has been amended for clarification; “said window” found at the end of claim 1 has been amended to read --said window viewing portion--.

Applicant submits that claim 1 as amended is allowable, and claims 3 – 11, depending directly or indirectly from claim 1, are also allowable.

Applicant maintains its position on the rejected claims but is accepting the claims allowed and pursuing the rejected claims in a subsequent divisional application. Applicant maintains the right to pursue the rejected claims in a divisional application.

This amendment is believed to be fully responsive to the rejections and comments of the examiner and to place this application in condition for allowance. Further, this amendment should be entered as it places the application in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. No further search or consideration is required. Favorable action is requested.

U.S. Application No. 10/047,963 -- 5

Respectfully submitted,

Robert P. Schmidt

Fildes & Outland, P.C.



---

Christopher J. Fildes, Attorney  
Registration No. 32,132  
20916 Mack Avenue, Suite 2  
Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236  
(313) 885-1500